

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,231	1	2/21/2001	Daniel T. Colbert	- 11321-P011C1D1	4149
47744	7590	06/29/2005	•	EXAM	IINER
ROSS SPEN	ICER GA	ARSSON		JOHNSTON	, PHILLIP A
WINSTEAD	SECHRE	ST & MINICK P.C			
P. O. BOX 50784				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS TX 75201				2001	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·				
		10/028,231	COLBERT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Phillip A. Johnston	2881					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streeply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum or riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to becom	ly a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication BEANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 March 2005.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	·					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>84-117</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>84-117</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•					
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the			(d).				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date 12-11-02; 10-19-04.		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2881

Detailed Action

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
 - 2. Claims 84 and 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Uchida, U.S. Patent No. 5, 560,898.

Uchida (898) discloses a method of purifying carbon nanotubes where the nanotubes are isolated from a mixture containing the carbon nanotubes (having a carbon nanotube content of more than, for example, 50% by weight) and graphite particles by a process including the steps of finely pulverizing the mixture; dispersing the pulverized product in a liquid medium; centrifuging the resulting dispersion to obtain a supernatant containing carbon nanotubes and graphite particles having a particle size of 0.3 µm or less; separating the supernatant into a solid phase and a liquid phase; and heating the solid phase in an oxygen-containing atmosphere at a temperature sufficient to burn the graphite particles and to leave the nanotubes.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,231

Art Unit: 2881

As a result of the centrifugation, 70-80% by weight of the graphite particles precipitate, while the remainder of the graphite particles and substantially all of the carbon nanotubes are present in the supernatant.

It is implied herein that use of a nanotube mixture obtained by the Ebbesen arc discharge method in accordance with Uchida (898) is equivalent to the use of single-wall carbon nanotubes, as recited in claims 84 and 104.

It is also implied herein that recovering substantially all of the carbon nanotubes from the original mixture after oxidizing is equivalent to recovering a higher concentration (at least 80%), as recited in claims 84 and 104.

Claims Rejection – 35 U.S.C. 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 83-103, and 105-117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchida, U.S. Patent No. 5, 560,898, in view of Hiura, U.S. Patent No. 5,698,175.

Uchida (898) discloses nearly all the limitations of claims 83-103, and 105-117, but fails to teach;

(a) The use of saponification, as recited in claims 112 and 115;

Art Unit: 2881

(b) Neutralizing the mixture with acid, as recited in claims 116 and 117.

However, Hiura (175) discloses a nanotube purification process that incorporates hydrolyzing the nanotube mixture with sodium hydrogencarbonate, as well as treating the nanotube mixture with a solution of sulfuric and nitric acid, as recited in claims 112-117. See Column 4, line 49-65.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the nanotube purification method of Uchida (898) can be modified to use the hydrolyzing and neutralizing methods of Hiura (175), to neutralize the reacted carbon nanotubes, thereby providing a process for easily obtaining highly purified nanotubes.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Phillip Johnston whose telephone number is (571) 272-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 am to 3:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Art Unit: 2881

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see
http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private
PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197
(toll-free).

ΡJ

June 24, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800